### **Manuscript Accepted**

Early View Article: Online published version of an accepted article before publication in the final form.

Journal Name: Edorium Journal of Radiology

doi: To be assigned

Early view version published: September 25, 2017

How to cite the article: Barua A, Dadnam C, Puppala S. Zonal variation in atheroscleric aorta: Is it a fact? Edorium Journal of Radiology. November 06, 2017.

Disclaimer: This manuscript has been accepted for publication. This is a pdf file of the Early View Article. The Early View Article is an online published version of an accepted article before publication in the final form. The proof of this manuscript will be sent to the authors for corrections after which this manuscript will undergo content check, copyediting/proofreading and content formatting to conform to journal's requirements. Please note that during the above publication processes errors in content or presentation may be discovered which will be rectified during manuscript processing. These errors may affect the contents of this manuscript and final published version of this manuscript may be extensively different in content and layout than this Early View Article.

1	TYPE OF ARTICLE: Original Article			
2				
3	TITLE: Zonal variation in atheroscleric aorta: Is it a fact?			
4				
5	AUTHORS:			
6	Anupama Barua <sup>1</sup> , Christopher Dadnam <sup>2</sup> , Sapna Puppala <sup>2</sup>			
7				
8	AFFILIATIONS:			
9	<sup>1</sup> Depart of Cardiac Surgery, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, UK			
10	<sup>2</sup> Depart of Radiology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, UK			
11				
12	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR DETAILS			
13	Dr. Sapna Puppala			
14	Jubilee wing, B floor, Radiology Dept., Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS trust, Leeds			
15	LS19EX			
16	Email: puppalasapna@hotmail.com			
17				
18	Short Running Title: Zonal variation in atheroscleric aorta			
19				
20	Guarantor of Submission: The corresponding author is the guarantor of			
21	submission.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				

#### 33 ABSTRACT

#### 34 **Aims**

The diameter of ascending aorta changes in different stages of cardiac cycle in normal, dissected and aneurysmal aorta. The differences in size of arch of aorta during cardiac cycle in atherosclerotic patients are not well established. Here we studied the long and short axis diameter of arch of aorta in atherosclerotic patients to assess the differences in diameter in different stages of cardiac cycle.

40

#### 41 Methods

This study involved evaluation of retrospective data, for patients who underwent retrospectively gated cardiac CT examinations for various indications. Zones 0-4 were identified from CT scan as per Shin Ishimura's division of arch of aorta. The short axis and long axis, along with the average of the two, were obtained both in systole and diastole, at the same level. The measurements were taken from outer wall to outer wall of the aorta.

48

#### 49 Results

50 Data from 27 patients (11 females and 16 males) was reviewed. The age range was 51 50-89 years. A total of 135 zones were identified and axial diameters (short and long 52 axes) were measured. The average zone variation between the long axis and short 53 axis diameter during systole and diastole did not exceed 1mm (max = 2.9mm, min = 54 0.0mm) for any zone. The largest difference in average variation was noted in zone 55 4; 0.58mm, although this was not statistically significant.

56

### 57 Conclusion

58 Our study suggested that the variation of atherosclerotic aorta is minimal in different 59 phases of cardiac cycle. This should be considered for endovascular intervention in 60 atherosclerotic arch of aorta.

- 61
- 62 Keywords: Aorta, atherosclerosis, endograft, imaging, CT SCAN.
- 63
- 64

#### Manuscript Accepted

#### 65 **INTRODUCTION**

66 Ishimaru has described the division of arch of aorta in 2001 [1]. This innovation has 67 significant clinical implication in mapping of landing zone for endograft. It not only 68 helps to evaluate the arch of aorta during the treatment but also assists in monitoring 69 the outcome of treatment in follow up scan. Proximal placement of endograft on a 70 sufficient long segment of healthy aorta (landing zone) is vital for successful 71 endovascular management of aneurysm of arch of aorta. Figure 1 shows the division 72 of zone 1, 2, 3 distal to each branch of aortic arch and zone 4 correlates to the 73 straight part of thoracic aorta upto the lumber region of abdominal aorta and zone 0 74 is proximal to the arch innominate branch.

Aortic distension is a physiological phenomenon, occurring in different phases of 75 76 cardiac cycle due to vessel wall elasticity or compliance [2]. The aortic displacement is most prominent near the heart due to transmission of kinetic movement of 77 78 pericardium during cardiac cycle. [3]. Winkessel's principle shows that distension of 79 aorta during systole and recoil in diastole reduces the pulse pressure and maintains 80 the continuous blood flow throughout its length. This elastic property of the aorta allows the vessel to work as a buffering chamber [4]. Two different disease 81 82 processes affect the aortic wall elasticity: arteriosclerosis and atherosclerosis. 83 Arteriosclerosis is progressive loss of elasticity and distension of the vessel wall due 84 to aging [5]. Atherosclerosis happens due to inflammatory process followed by lipid 85 accumulation resulting in structural weakness in tunica media [6].

Over last three decades, endovascular grafting has become the mainstay of 86 87 treatment for thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysm. To size the optimal endograft, multi-detector computerised tomography and magnetic resonance 88 angiography are used to assess the anatomy of diseased aorta. MDCT has high 89 90 spatial resolution with high specificity and sensitivity both for diagnosis and sizing [7, 91 8]. It offers accurate depiction of branch vessel anatomy along with aneurysm and 92 dissection configuration [9, 10]. However, MDCT involves high levels of ionising 93 radiation and iodinated contrast causes nephrotoxic changes leading to contrast 94 induced nephropathy [11, 12]. Another limitation of MDCT is motion artefact usually 95 present in the aortic root and ascending aorta. Some studies suggest that the degree 96 of motion artefact is most pronounced in proximal aorta zone 0-zone 1 during systole

### Manuscript Accepted

and diastole [13]. Upto 17.8% difference in diameter occurs during different phases
of cardiac cycle. ECG gated CT scan utilises MCDT in correlation with cardiac cycle
requiring higher radiation dose. It can be performed in two ways: retrospective and
prospective. Retrospective gating uses continuous modulated or unmodulated X-ray
throughout cardiac cycle (R-R interval), whereas prospective gating takes images at
approximately 70% cardiac cycle (late diastolic phase) [Figure 2].

103 Endograft sizing is vital to ensure adequate functioning and to minimise potential 104 complication. Most clinicians oversize the endograft by 10-15% [14]. Alongside size, 105 as opposed to abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA's), the thoracic aorta, particularly 106 the aortic arch with a natural curvature can be problematic when sizing and planning 107 for endovascular repair [15]. Furthermore, the morphological and hemodynamic 108 characteristics of the thoracic aorta need to be considered. Undersizing as well as oversizing can lead to complications such as migration, collapse, pseudocoarcation, 109 infolding and endoleaks (particularly type1) [16, 17]. Furthermore, the pressure or 110 111 radial force exerted by some stent graft designs, along with excessive oversizing, 112 can lead to further deterioration of already diseased aortic walls. The decision 113 regarding the degree of oversizing is still debatable. Most clinicians oversize the measurement by 10-20%. Excessive oversizing have shown conflicting results, with 114 115 the possibility of greater associated increased tendency for specific complications 116 [17-19].

The purpose of this study was to assess the expansile differences during the cardiac cycle, in the various Ishimura zones of the aortic arch. We focused on patients that have atherosclerotic disease and not have previously undergone endovascular repair procedures.

121

#### 122 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study involved prospective evaluation of retrospective data, for patients who underwent retrospectively gated cardiac CT examinations for various indications. Individuals with evidence of atherosclerotic disease, identified by the presence of atherosclerotic plaques, calcification of the vessel wall, and elevated serum levels of cholesterol or lipids, were included. Patients with acute aortic syndrome, previous aortic rupture, and connective tissue disorders were excluded as these patient

### Manuscript Accepted

### Early View Article

129 groups may have reduced aortic compliance leading to confounding data. All scans 130 were performed with retrospective CT cardiac gating. Standard axials were acquired, 131 at 0.75mm thin slices, using a dual source Seimans CT scanner, without the usage 132 of β-blockers. Contrast used for these procedures was; 90ml of 350 strength lodine 133 (optiray covidiene) at the rate of 5ml/sec followed by 30ml of normal saline chase at 134 4ml/sec using a pump injector. The standard set of axial data was analysed using the VOXAR 3D workstation (equipment/software). Oblique sagittal slices were 135 136 obtained from the standard axial slices were used to derive true axial projections orthogonal to aortic centreline as shown Figure 3. The short axis and long axis, 137 138 along with the average of the two, were obtained both in systole and diastole, at the 139 same level. The measurements were taken from outer wall to outer wall of the aorta.

140

#### 141 **RESULTS**

Data from 27 patients (11 females and 16 males) was reviewed. Of these, 20 had 142 143 calcification in the arch and 7 had calcification either within the coronary arteries or 144 the aortic valves. The age range was 50-89 years. A total of 135 zones were 145 identified and axial diameters (short and long axes) were measured. The average 146 zone variation between the long axis and short axis diameter during systole and 147 diastole did not exceed 1mm (max = 2.9mm, min = 0.0mm) for any zone (Table 1). 148 The largest difference in average variation was noted in zone 4; 0.58mm, although 149 this was not statistically significant. There was no significant difference in aortic 150 diameter variation during cardiac cycle for any zone (Table 2).

151

#### 152 **DISCUSSION**

153 This study demonstrates that the expansile nature of the aorta, during the cardiac 154 cycle, is reduced when the artery becomes atherosclerotic. The results showed no 155 statistically significant variation in aortic diameter in any of the individuals observed. 156 The maximum variation seen in both the long axis and short axis is in zone 4 (p-157 value = 0.46 and 0.48, respectively). We could not find any specific reason for 158 greater expansile nature in zone 4. Indeed our expectations were that zone 4 may 159 have the least distensibility being furthest from the heart. It might be related to the 160 atherosclerotic nature of the disease and plaque distribution. In a study by Parodi et

### Manuscript Accepted

al the variation in dimensions between systole and diastole in the descending thoracic aorta were noted similar to our study which also revealed a near significant variation in size in zone 4 [20]. Future studies in this area will be able to justify this finding and show any distinct comparison between the anatomical zones of the aorta or demonstrate anatomical anomaly. As mentioned in the results, the p-values for long and short axes in zone 0 and zone 1 have shown the least significance.

167 The introduction of electrocardiographic (ECG) cardiac-gating CT, both prospective 168 and retrospective, has enable reduction of movement artefact. As mentioned, the 169 main implication that exists with using MDCT imaging is ionising radiation exposure 170 which in the younger atherosclerotic patients is relevantdue the risk associated with 171 the amount of X-ray radiation exposure leading to lifetime risk of developing cancer 172 [21]. This aspect applies particularly to the organs within the region being scanned, 173 for instance effective dose radiation form a 64-slice MDCT of the chest for female 174 breast tissue is 10-30 times greater than that received from mammography 175 screening [21]. This issue is carefully considered when introducing CT-gating, as 176 relative exposure can increase dramatically. Many studies have observed the 177 efficacy and use of specific types of CT gating techniques, questioning quality over 178 radiation exposure.

Retrospective gating involves continuous, intensity-modulated, x-ray imaging 179 180 throughout the cardiac cycle (R-R interval), whereas perspective gating invokes on a 181 step-and-shoot model, where around 26% of the cardiac cycle (late diastolic phase) is imaged [22, 23]. Numerous studies have focused on the effective dose 182 183 implications and quality of images produced [24, 25, & 26]. There has been little 184 difference, in terms of image quality, between the two techniques. However, some 185 studies reveal marked differences in effective dose, up to 77% less radiation 186 exposure in prospective gating [26]. Though this is the case, there are limitations 187 imposed on prospective gating, including image guality which is severely affected if 188 heart rate is >70bpm. This statement, regarding quality, is particularly notable with 189 images of the coronary arteries and not with the aorta. It should be noted that the 190 implications for using ECG gating are not limited to aortic malformations. Indeed 191 some authors discuss a triple rule-out technique, allowing emergency imaging 192 evaluation of the pulmonary and coronary arteries, as well as the thoracic aorta, in

#### Manuscript Accepted

response to chest pain. This technique is performed with retrospective gating, as
opposed to prospective, due to relative speed acquired to image these areas whilst
the contrast is still present.

This finding is crucial for clinicians when performing endovascular repair, in relation to landing zone choice. Currently the use of retrospective cardiac CT-gating, in endograft repair, allows to acquire the relevant information needed to select the most appropriate endograft size. The results in this study, however, demonstrate that the difference in the aortic diameter, throughout the cardiac cycle, is not significant enough to affect endograft sizing, in the zones of the arch of aorta as compared to descending aorta.

203 Furthermore, since the majority of the clinicians who perform this procedure oversize 204 the aortic diameter by 10-20%, to achieve better endograft apposition to aortic wall, 205 for the endograft used, the discrepancy between the aortic sizes would seem 206 insignificant. This prospect is important to consider in terms of diagnostic benefits 207 against patient risk. Another important consideration is motion artefact, caused by 208 cardiac movement. This can affect the quality of the axial images produced in normal 209 CT scans particularly when observing zones 0 and 1, as they are closest to the 210 heart. Indeed we have considered this aspect in the clinical setting. When significant 211 motion artefact generated during CT scanning, we recommend that prospective 212 cardiac CT-gating is performed to limit image distortion. Though we appreciate that 213 the level of radiation, using prospective CT-gating, is higher than that of normal spiral 214 CT scanning it is significantly lower than the volume of radiation used for 215 retrospective CT-gating.

216

### 217 Limitations

The study was carried out with retrospectively ECG gated CT SCAN in atherosclerotic patients. This was a retrospective study with a small group of patients, the implications to perform higher quality studies is, however, questionable due to the ethical implications concerning ionising radiation. Certain variables were not considered, including patient medication such as beta blockers, smoking history (which reduces expansible nature of blood vessels), and low cardiac output patients related to cardiac failure or aortic stenosis (low cardiac output would not cause large

### Manuscript Accepted

distension of the aorta). Finally, the study did not evaluate pre and post stenting
procedures for the patients. This needs to be documented in the study as it can have
significant effect on the results.

228

### 229 CONCLUSION

We conclude that routine cardiac gating, both CT and MRI, is not required for endovascular repair of arch in atherosclerotic patients. For stent in or near zone 0, the use of prospective CT gating allowing for a more accurate depiction of the aortic walls is substantial, whilst acquiring lower radiation dose than retrospective CT gating. Current oversizing by 10- 20% would be sufficient to compensate for the minimal changes that occur during aortic distension in different phases of cardiac cycle.

237

### 238 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 239 None
- 240

### 241 AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

- 242
- 243 Anupama Barua: editing, writing.
- 244 Christopher Dadnam: Data collection, analysis
- 245 Sapna Puppala<sup>•</sup> Project idea and final revision
- 246

### 247 **REFERENCES**

- Ishimaru S. Endografting of the aortic arch. Journal of endovascular therapy.
   2001 Dec;11: 62-71.
- Rangier F, Et al. Heartbeat-related distention and displacement of the thoracic
   aorta in healthy volunteers. European journal of radiology 2012
   Jan;81(1):158-64
- Yu T, Zhu X, Tang L, Wang D, Saad N. Review of CT angiography of aorta.
   Radiological clinics of North America. 2007 May; 45(3):461-83, viii.
- 4. Belz GG, 1995. Elastic properties and Windkessel function of the human
   aorta. Journal of cardiovascular drugs and therapy.1995 Feb;9(1):73-83.

- Stefanadis C, Woodley CF, Bush CA, Kolibash AJ, Boudoulas H. Aortic
   distensibility abnormalities in coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 1987 Jun
   1;59(15):1300-4.
- 260 6. Ed. Underwood JCE, 2007. General and systemic pathology. Churchill261 Livingstone.
- 262 7. Litmanovich D, Bankier AA, Cantin L, Raptopoulos V, Boiselle PM. CT and
  263 MRI in diseases of the aorta. American journal of Roentgenology. 2009
  264 Oct;193(4):928-40.
- Sakamato I, Sueyoshi E, Uetani M. MR imaging of the aorta. Radiologic
   Clinics of North America 2007 May;45(3):485-97, viii.
- Ledbetter S, Stuk JL, Kaufman JA. Helical (spiral) CT in the evaluation of
   emergent thoracic aortic syndrome: traumatic aortic rupture, aortic aneurysm,
   aortic dissection, intramural hematoma, and penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer.
   Radiology clinics of North America. 1999 May;37(3):575-89.
- Dorffner R, Et al. Spiral CT angiography in the assessment of abdominal
   aortic aneurysms after stent grafting: value of maximum intensity projection.
   Journal of computerised assisted tomography.1997May-Jun;21(3):472-7.
- 274 11. Golzarian J, Et al. Helical CT of aorta after endoluminal stent graft therapy:
  275 value of biphasic acquisition. American journal of Roentgenology 1998
  276 Aug;171(2):329-31.
- Muhs BE, Vincken KL, van Prehn J, Stone MK et al. Dynamic cine-CT
  angiography for the evalution of the thoracic aorta; insight in dynamic changes
  with implications for thoracic endograft treatment. European journal of
  vascular and endovascular surgery. 2006 Nov;32(5):532-6.
- 13. Morgan-Hughes GJ, Owens PE, Marshall AJ, Roobottom CA. Thoracic aorta
  at multi-detector row CT: motion artefact with various reconstruction windows.
  Radiology. 2003 Aug;228(2):583-8.
- Sakai T, Et al. Descending thoracic aortic aneurysm: Thoracic CT findings
   after endovascular stentgraft placement. Radiology. 1999 Jul;212(1):169-74.
- 15. Melissano G, Civilini E, Bertoglio L, Setacci F et al. Endovascular treatment of
  aortic arch aneurysms. European journal of vascular and endovascular surgery.
  2005 Feb; 29, (2),131–138.

- Prehn J, Schlösser FJV, Muhs BE, Verhagen HJM, et al. Oversizing of aortic
  stent grafts for abdominal aneurysm repair: A systematic review of the
  benefits and risks. European journal of vascular and endovascular surgery.
  2009 Jul;38(1):42-53.
- 293 17. Piffaretti G, Tozzi M, Lomazzi C, Rivolta N, et al. Complications after
  294 endovascular stent-grafting of thoracic aortic diseases. Journal of
  295 cardiothoracic surgery. 2006 Sep 12;1:26.
- 18. Sternbergh WC, Money SR, Greenberg RK, Chuter TAM. Influence of
  endograft oversizing on device migration, endoleak, aneurysm shrinkage, and
  aortic neck dilation: Results from the Zenith multicenter trial. Journal of
  vascular surgery. 2004 Jan;39(1):20-6.
- 300 19. Ohrlander T, Sonesson B, Ivancev K, Resch T, et al. The chimney graft: A
   301 technique for preserving or rescuing aortic branch vessels in stent-graft
   302 sealing zones. Journal of endovascular therapy. 2008 Aug;15(4):427-32.
- Parodi J, Berguer R, Carrascosa P, Khanafer K et al. Sources of error in the
   measurement of aortic diameter in computed tomography scans. J Vasc Surg.
   Jan;59(1):74-9.
- Einstein AJ, Henzlova MJ, Rajagopalan S. Estimating risk of cancer
   associated with radiation exposure from 64-slice computed tomography
   coronary angiography. JAMA.2007 Jul 18;298(3):317-23.
- Shuman WP, Branch KR, May JM, Mitsumori LM, et al. Prospective versus
  retrospective ECG gating for 64-detector CT of the coronary arteries:
  comparison of image quality and patient radiation dose. Radiology. 2008
  Aug;248(2):431-7.
- Sun Z. Multislice CT angiography in cardiac imaging: prospective ECG-gating
   or retrospective ECG-gating? Biomedical imaging and intervention journal.
   2010 Jan-Mar; 6(1): e4.
- Hong C, Becker CR, Huber A, Schoepf UJ, et al. ECG-gated reconstructed
  multi-detector row CT coronary angiography: Effect of varying trigger delay on
  image quality. Radiology. 2001 Sep;220(3):712-7.



### **Manuscript Accepted**

- Table 1: Zonal size variation between diastole and systole for both long and short axis

Ishimaru zone	Average variation	Maximum variation	Minimum variation
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
0	0.17	1.9	0.15
1	0.0	2.9	0.05
2	0.38	2.5	0.0
3	0.24	2.1	0.0
4	0.58	2.2	0.1





Table 2: Mean axial length of the zones and calculated p values

### Manuscript Accepted

Early View Article

Ishimaru	SA	SA	P value	LA	LA	P value	
zone	systole	diastole		systole	diastole		
	(mm)	(mm)		(mm)	(mm)		
0	32.36	32.65	0.7	34.72	34.78	0.9	
1	29.52	29.46	0.94	31.96	32.02	0.93	
2	27.80	27.42	0.65	30.71	30.33	0.64	
3	26.20	26.12	0.92	29.02	28.61	0.63	
4	26.49	25.94	0.48	28.04	27.42	0.46	
SA – short axis, LA – long axis							

377	
378	
379	
380	
381	
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	FIGURE LEGENDS

397

375 376

### Manuscript Accepted

- 398 Figure 1: The Ishimura zones.
- 399
- 400 Figure 2: The retroscpective and prospective ECG gated CT SCAN
- 401

Figure 3: The methodology used to obtain true axial slices from the original data using VOXAR 3D workstation. The raw axial data is used to reformat the oblique saggitals from which true axials were obtained.

Z0

**Z**3

T8 T9 T10 T11

T4 T5 T6 T7

 $\mathbf{Z4}$ 

7.2

405

406 **FIGURES** 

407

408 409

410 Figure 1 Shows the Ishimura zones.

### **Manuscript Accepted**



415

416

Figure 3: The methodology used to obtain true axial slices from the original data using VOXAR 3D workstation. The raw axial data is used to reformat the oblique saggitals from which true axials were obtained